

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/785,227	02/25/2004	Samuel S. Adams	YOR920040028US1	1536		
48150 MCGINN INT	7590 09/08/200 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAM	EXAMINER			
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			BELANI,	BELANI, KISHIN G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2143				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/08/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,227	ADAMS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KISHIN G. BELANI	2143		

	KISHIN G. BELANI	2143						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of								
filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7.								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2143	/K. G. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2143							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of new claim element from the dependent claim 2 into the independent claim 14 changes the scope of the independent claim 14, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) in the final office action dated 07/02/2008, thereby requiring further consideration for claim 14 after the prosecution is closed.

Furthermore, the amended claim 15 includes the phrases "signal-bearing storage medium" and "langibly embodying" that introduce new issues such as potential claim objection and 101 rejection, because the examiner cannot ascertain (from page 24, lines 8-19 of the specification) which of the listed media correspond to "signal-bearing storage medium" and "tangibly embodying".

Continuation of 11. The examiner has already responded to the arguments raised for claims 1-15 in his final office action dated 07/02/2008,

Continuation of 11. The examiner has already responded to the arguments raised for claims 1-15 in his final office action dated 07/02/2008 and considers the cited art to adequately disclose the claimed features as listed in claims 1-15 before the amendments dated 08/18/2008.